

<b>Statement of Deficiencies</b>	<b>(X1) Provider/Supplier/CLIA Identification Number</b>  05P001	<b>(X3) Date Survey Completed</b>  05/14/2010
<b>Name of Provider or Supplier</b>  Lifesharing	<b>Street Address, City, State</b>  7436 Mission Valley Road, San Diego, CA	
For information on the provider's plan to correct this deficiency, please contact the provider or the state survey agency.		

<b>(X4) ID Prefix Tag</b>	<b>Summary Statement of Deficiencies</b>  (Each deficiency should be preceded by full regulatory or LSC identifying information)
<b>Z0235</b>	<p><b>ADMINISTRATION AND GOVERNING BODY</b> CFR(s): 486.324(d)</p> <p>The OPO must have bylaws for each of its board(s) that address potential conflicts of interest, length of terms, and criteria for selecting and removing members.</p> <p>This STANDARD is not met as evidenced by: Based on record review and interview, the OPO did not ensure that it had bylaws for each of its boards that addressed potential conflict of interest. Finding includes: Review of the advisory board bylaws revealed that board responsibilities included agreeing "to completely disclose to the Board in writing any financial or other interests which may be perceived as a conflict of interest, and must sign a written acknowledgment to this effect." Further review revealed however that the bylaws did not define conflict of interest; did not identify situations that may constitute potential conflict of interest; and did not specify the timing and frequency the disclosures were to be made. During an interview on 5/13/10, an OPO staff member stated that members of the advisory board were not employees of the university (which owned and operated the OPO) so that the potential conflict of interest provision in the bylaw differed from that of the governing board's. The staff added that the provision will be revised to define potential conflict of interest for members of the advisory board and establish time frames for disclosures.</p>